

File No. 051605

Committee Item No. 7

Board Item No. 10

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: City Operations & Neighborhood Ser. Date Nov 7, 2005

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date \_\_\_\_\_

### Cmte Board

- |                                     |                          |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Resolution                                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget Analyst Report                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Analyst Report                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form (for hearings)             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | MOU  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence                        |

### OTHER

(Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Victor Young

Date Nov 3, 2005

Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date 11-9-05

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

10

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

[Penalties related to dog licensing.]

**Ordinance amending Article 1, Section 41.25 of the San Francisco Health Code by increasing penalties imposed for failing to license a dog or obtain a young dog certificate from not less than \$25 nor more than \$50 to exactly \$100; and making environmental findings.**

Note: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;  
deletions are ~~strikethrough italics Times New Roman~~.  
Board amendment additions are double underlined.  
Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough normal~~.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 1, Section 41.25 of the San Francisco Health Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 41.25. PENALTIES.**


(a) ~~Any person violating~~ violation of the provisions of Section 41.21 of this Article shall be ~~deemed guilty of~~ a misdemeanor, and ~~upon conviction thereof shall be~~ punishable by a fine of not more than \$500.

(b) ~~Any person violating~~ violation of the provisions of Section 41.15, ~~41.18(e)~~ or 41.19 of this Article shall be ~~deemed guilty of~~ an infraction and ~~upon conviction thereof shall be~~ punishable by a fine of ~~not less than \$25 nor more than \$50~~ 100.


Section 2. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this Ordinance are in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 051605 and is incorporated herein by reference.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:   
ROSA M. SANCHEZ  
Deputy City Attorney

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

# MEMORANDUM

TO: Department of Planning  
Environmental Review Officer

FROM: Gloria L. Young, Clerk of the Board *by GY*

DATE: September 28, 2005

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
City Operations and Neighborhood Services

The Board of Supervisors City Operations and Neighborhood Services has received the following which is being referred to the Planning Department for determination as to whether the proposed fee increase will impact the environment.

**Please provide your findings within 10 days from the date of referral.**

**File: 051605**

Penalties related to dog licensing

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to **Victor Young, Clerk, City Operations and Neighborhood Services.**

\*\*\*\*\*

RESPONSE FROM PLANNING DEPARTMENT - Date: October 19, 2005

- No Comment
- Recommendation Attached

*Exempt from CEQA  
Non-physical Exemption  
CEQA Guidelines § 15060(k)(2)*

*[Signature]*  
Planning Department  
Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review  
Officer

5/7/01



October 18, 2005

Chris Jay Hoofnagle  
944 Market St #709  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Committee Clerk  
City Operations and Neighborhood Services Committee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Re: Dog / Dog Owner Regulation**

Dear Supervisors McGoldrick, Dufty, and Ma:

I submit these comments for the record of the meeting where proposed ordinances 051605 (Penalties related to dog licensing), 051606 (Penalties related to vicious and dangerous dogs), and 051607 (Mandating the spaying and neutering of pit bulls and prohibiting non-permitted breeding of pit bulls). I believe these proposed ordinances will be considered on November 7, 2005 and cannot attend the meeting in person.

Thank you for considering new legislation on these matters. I strongly support the above-listed proposed ordinances, and urge the Committee to consider additional accountability measures for irresponsible dog owners concerning four issues:

1) Off-leash Dogs in Parks.

I live in Noe Valley, where it seems that every park in the neighborhood is a *complete* off-leash area. I would like to run in a park, in order to avoid cars, but instead have to avoid off-leash dogs, some of which are in packs.

I have been chased several times by off-leash dogs. Sometimes dogs on leashes lunge at me aggressively.

I urge you to consider greater limits on off-leash areas in the neighborhood parks. There should be parks--especially population-dense parks like Mission Dolores--that people can enjoy without loose dogs.

2) Enforcement of "curb" responsibilities.

In Noe Valley, there is new dog excrement on every street, every day. Additionally, there is dog excrement on the trails in the off-leash parks. Bernal Heights Park, in particular, has problems with dog owners who do not curb. Because of these problems, I urge you to heighten enforcement of curb responsibilities.

3) Enforcement of leash laws.

The leash laws are routinely ignored in this city, especially in Noe Valley.

Dog owners are not always sensitive to the effect that an unleashed animal has on others. Some walk breeds that have historically been owned for their destructive power, such as rotweilers, off leash. There needs to be more enforcement of leash laws, because too many owners apparently do not care to obey them.

4) Create clear avenues for animal-related complaints.

It is not clear how one complains about non-emergency animal control problems, such as off-leash dogs. The Commission of Animal Control and Welfare website, while an excellent resource for animal *welfare* issues, lacks prominent, clear information about animal *control* problems, such as how to file complaints concerning off-leash dogs.

I urge you to enhance methods for individuals to file complaints concerning animals. Complaints are an essential early warning system in addressing the problem of irresponsible owners.

Respectfully submitted,



Chris Hoofnagle  
415-981-6400